

---

**From:** "Tony Barton" <[tbarton@westongov.com](mailto:tbarton@westongov.com)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 11, 2015 8:01 AM

**To:** "Bill Lambert" <[blambert@westongov.com](mailto:blambert@westongov.com)>

**Subject:** RE: AGENDA

Bill,

With all of your experience on various boards, I thought I would ask you your thoughts on this. Can the discussion items be at the bottom of the agenda, and we just work them in if we have 5 minutes here and there between scheduled appts, and then hit any of them that we need to prior to adjourning? Or does that create a conflict with the public being able to hear what we have to discuss. If we aren't taking action, I wouldn't think it would matter but I wanted your input.

Regardless, I think the agenda gets better each meeting and is a far sight better than it was last year.

Also, for the future, unless Mike with RER has a specific request with the commission, I think we should encourage him to come at 11:45 during the public comment time.

I agree that old business should be before new business.

Tony

**From:** Bill Lambert [<mailto:blambert@westongov.com>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 10, 2015 6:34 PM

**To:** Cheryl Kregel; Marty Ertman; Randy Rossman; Tony Barton; Tracy Hunt

**Subject:** AGENDA