

---

**From:** "Cheryl Kregel" <[cheryl@westongov.com](mailto:cheryl@westongov.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 12, 2015 2:33 PM  
**To:** "Bill Lambert" <[blambert@westongov.com](mailto:blambert@westongov.com)>, "Marty Ertman" <[adpro@vcn.com](mailto:adpro@vcn.com)>, "Randy Rossman" <[crossman@me.com](mailto:crossman@me.com)>, "Tony Barton" <[tbarton@westongov.com](mailto:tbarton@westongov.com)>, "Tracy Hunt" <[thunt@westongov.com](mailto:thunt@westongov.com)>  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Martin/Martin Report

FYI

Cheryl Kregel  
Weston County Clerk  
[cheryl@westongov.com](mailto:cheryl@westongov.com)  
1 West Main  
Newcastle, WY 82701  
(307)746-2684  
(307)746-9505 Fax

**From:** Dan Murphy [<mailto:dmurphy@murphydecker.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 12, 2015 1:39 PM  
**To:** Ray Hunkins; Scott McGath  
**Cc:** Cheryl Kregel; William Curley; Jerry Hunt; Scott Riley; Kim Basham  
**Subject:** RE: Comments on Martin/Martin Report

Ray:

Paul Reed Construction and Dan Hart Patrol are contractors. They are not designers. Speaking for PRC, it requires the assistance of qualified designers, including Martin/Martin and WJE. To the extent it is necessary, PRC will seek the assistance of Martin/Martin and WJE.

Daniel P. Murphy, Esq.  
Murphy & Decker, P.C.

**Main Office:**

4725 South Monaco Street | Suite 110 | Denver, CO 80237  
303.468.5980 Main | [720.644.5441](tel:720.644.5441) Direct | 303.468.5981 Facsimile | [www.murphydecker.com](http://www.murphydecker.com)

**Wyoming Office:**

1720 Carey Avenue | Suite 605 | Cheyenne, Wyoming 82003  
307.200.0022 Main | 303.468.5981 Facsimile



This email message and any attachments contain confidential, legally privileged information intended solely for the addressee. They may also contain proprietary information that is otherwise protected from disclosure by applicable law. Please do not read, copy, or disseminate the information transmitted unless you are the addressee. If you have received this message in error, please contact us at (303) 468-5980 and ask to speak with the message sender. Also, we would appreciate your forwarding the message back to us and deleting it from your system. Thank you.

CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Service, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (or in any attachment) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Service Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this communication (or in any attachment).

**From:** Ray Hunkins [<mailto:ray@hunkinsnewtonlaw.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 12, 2015 11:04 AM  
**To:** Scott McGath; Dan Murphy  
**Cc:** Cheryl Kregel; William Curley; Jerry Hunt; Scott Riley; Kim Basham  
**Subject:** Comments on Martin/Martin Report

Scott and Dan:

I asked both the Project Architect, Scott Riley of WJE, and the County's consulting engineer, Kim Basham, of KBE, to comment on the Martin and Martin Report dated February 12, 2015. Both individuals, Riley and Basham, have considerable experience with this project and I thought their comments offered in the spirit of cooperation, might be helpful to both Martin and Paul Reed Construction going forward. The comments are not intended as a directive nor to interfere in any way with Paul Reed's means and methods. They are simply forwarded in an effort to be helpful in the remediation effort to bring this building to final completion in accordance with the original plans and specification.

There is one misconception in the Martin and Martin Report which should be noted for the record. The last sentence on page 3 of the February 12, 2015 Report states; "Construction details and specifications need to be prepared for this work by WJE or another qualified architect." Mr. Riley has brought to my attention his understanding, which I think is our joint understanding, of the roles and responsibilities each party is undertaking as part of the remediation effort. Because the effort is to complete the pending contract, which is open, and to do so in accordance with the original plans and specifications on which the general contractor, and presumably the subcontractors, including Dan Hart Patrol, bid, it would be more appropriate for the specific repair details to originate from the construction team and their consultants. The Project Architect is available to discuss, review and comment in the normal course of the architect's contractual duties, but will not be authoring repair details/specifications. This should come from the contractor and his team. I believe this was made clear earlier and the Martin/Martin comment quoted above is probably due to a misunderstanding of the status of the contract. I did think the misunderstanding should be corrected.

Thanks and we look forward to the remediation effort getting underway in the very near future. Please let me know the status. WJE will be communicating separately with PRC.

If you have any questions, please feel free to get in touch with me.

Ray

--

**Raymond B. Hunkins**

The Hunkins Newton Law Firm

1720 Carey Avenue, Suite 605 (82001)

Phone: (307) 635-7996

Facsimile: (307) 778-7496