
From: "Cheryl Kregel" <cheryl@westongov.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2015 11:08 AM
To: "Bill Lambert" <blambert@westongov.com>, "Marty Ertman" <adpro@vcn.com>, "Randy Rossman" <crossman@me.com>, "Tony Barton" <tbarton@westongov.com>, "Tracy Hunt" <thunt@westongov.com>
Cc: "William Curley" <wc.wecao@gmail.com>
Subject: Comments on Martin/Martin Report

This is from Ray Hunkins. Just received this this morning. Will make copies and put in your files also.

Cheryl

Cheryl Kregel
Weston County Clerk
cheryl@westongov.com
1 West Main
Newcastle, WY 82701
(307)746-2684
(307)746-9505 Fax

From: Ray Hunkins [<mailto:ray@hunkinsnewtonlaw.com>]
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2015 11:04 AM
To: Scott McGath; Dan Murphy
Cc: Cheryl Kregel; William Curley; Jerry Hunt; Scott Riley; Kim Basham
Subject: Comments on Martin/Martin Report

Scott and Dan:

I asked both the Project Architect, Scott Riley of WJE, and the County's consulting engineer, Kim Basham, of KBE, to comment on the Martin and Martin Report dated February 12, 2015. Both individuals, Riley and Basham, have considerable experience with this project and I thought their comments offered in the spirit of cooperation, might be helpful to both Martin and Paul Reed Construction going forward. The comments are not intended as a directive nor to interfere in any way with Paul Reed's means and methods. They are simply forwarded in an effort to be helpful in the remediation effort to bring this building to final completion in accordance with the original plans and specification.

There is one misconception in the Martin and Martin Report which should be noted for the record. The last sentence on page 3 of the February 12, 2015 Report states; "Construction details and specifications need to be prepared for this work by WJE or another qualified architect." Mr. Riley has brought to my attention his understanding, which I think is our joint understanding, of the roles and responsibilities each party is undertaking as part of the remediation effort. Because the effort is to complete the pending contract, which is open, and to do so in accordance with the original plans and specifications on which the general contractor, and presumably the subcontractors, including Dan Hart Patrol, bid, it would be more appropriate for the specific repair details to originate from the construction team and their consultants. The Project Architect is available to discuss, review and comment in the normal course of the architect's contractual

duties, but will not be authoring repair details/specifications. This should come from the contractor and his team. I believe this was made clear earlier and the Martin/Martin comment quoted above is probably due to a misunderstanding of the status of the contract. I did think the misunderstanding should be corrected.

Thanks and we look forward to the remediation effort getting underway in the very near future. Please let me know the status. WJE will be communicating separately with PRC.

If you have any questions, please feel free to get in touch with me.

Ray

--

Raymond B. Hunkins

The Hunkins Newton Law Firm

1720 Carey Avenue, Suite 605 (82001)

Phone: (307) 635-7996

Facsimile: (307) 778-7