

From: Tony Barton [<mailto:tbarton@westongov.com>]
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2015 1:01 PM
To: 'Tracy Hunt'; blambert@westongov.com; mertman@westongov.com; 'Randy Rossman'; 'Cheryl Kregel'
Subject: RE: agenda

I agree with Tracy. It is nice to have an idea where we are going on some of this stuff. It doesn't have to be in our official agenda, but the copy we get before the meeting would be nice.

Also, I need to have the names of applicants to be on the various boards before the meeting so I have time to check into it. I think this is a very important part of our duties (appoint members to fairly autonomous boards) and will not vote for their appointment this meeting or any other meeting in the future without the chance to at least check into it a little.

From: Tracy Hunt [<mailto:wyosaurusrex@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2015 11:10 AM
To: Bill Lambert
Cc: William Curley; Tony Barton; Randy Rossman; Marty Ertman; Cheryl Kregel
Subject: Re: agenda

Hello All,

I find this additional information very helpful in preparation for the meeting. This is a really good idea and makes having the agenda in advance useful. For instance, I would not prepare for the auditor normally with only info shown in black but seeing the specific nature of the item, I am more inclined to focus on that subject.

I think this is a good idea.

Tracy

On Mon, Mar 16, 2015 at 9:50 AM, Bill Lambert <BLAMBERT@rtconnect.net> wrote:
The items in black are the original agenda I received. I went through that agenda and put down a description for each item and put that in red. That list is not meant to be exclusive. If this is helpful to the commission we can work on a system that works for everyone and helps us prepare for our meetings.
Thanks Bill